

MONOPOLES IN REAL TIME FOR CLASSICAL U(1) GAUGE FIELD THEORY

TAMÁS S. BIRÓ

*KFKI, Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, H-1525 Budapest,
Hungary*

HARALD MARKUM AND RAINER PULLIRSCH

Atominstytut, Technische Universität Wien, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

U(1) gauge fields are decomposed into a monopole and photon part across the phase transition from the confinement to the Coulomb phase. We analyze the leading Lyapunov exponents of such gauge field configurations on the lattice which are initialized by quantum Monte Carlo simulations. We observe that the monopole field carries the same Lyapunov exponent as the original U(1) field. As a long awaited result, we show that monopoles are created and annihilated in pairs as a function of real time in excess to a fixed average monopole number.

1. Monopole and photon part of U(1) theory

We are interested in the relationship between monopoles and classical chaos across the phase transition at $\beta_c \approx 1.01$. We begin with a 4d U(1) gauge theory described by the Euclidean action $S\{U_l\} = \beta \sum_p (1 - \cos \theta_p)$, where $U_l = U_{x,\mu} = \exp(i\theta_{x,\mu})$ and $\theta_p = \theta_{x,\mu} + \theta_{x+\hat{\mu},\nu} - \theta_{x+\hat{\nu},\mu} - \theta_{x,\nu}$. Following Ref. 1, we have factorized our gauge configurations into monopole and photon fields. The U(1) plaquette angles $\theta_{x,\mu\nu}$ are decomposed into the “physical” electromagnetic flux through the plaquette $\bar{\theta}_{x,\mu\nu}$ and a number $m_{x,\mu\nu}$ of Dirac strings through the plaquette

$$\theta_{x,\mu\nu} = \bar{\theta}_{x,\mu\nu} + 2\pi m_{x,\mu\nu} , \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{\theta}_{x,\mu\nu} \in (-\pi, +\pi]$ and $m_{x,\mu\nu} \neq 0$ is called a Dirac plaquette.

2. Classical chaotic dynamics from quantum Monte Carlo initial states

Chaotic dynamics in general is characterized by the spectrum of Lyapunov exponents. These exponents, if they are positive, reflect an exponential

divergence of initially adjacent configurations. In case of symmetries inherent in the Hamiltonian of the system there are corresponding zero values of these exponents. Finally negative exponents belong to irrelevant directions in the phase space: perturbation components in these directions die out exponentially. Pure random gauge fields on the lattice show a characteristic Lyapunov spectrum consisting of one third of each kind of exponents.² Assuming this general structure of the Lyapunov spectrum we present here its magnitude only, namely the maximal value of the Lyapunov exponent, $L_{\max}$.

The general definition of the Lyapunov exponent is based on a distance measure $d(t)$ in phase space,

$$L := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{d(0) \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{d(t)}{d(0)}. \quad (2)$$

In case of conservative dynamics the sum of all Lyapunov exponents is zero according to Liouville's theorem, $\sum L_i = 0$. We utilize the gauge invariant distance measure consisting of the local differences of energy densities between two $3d$ field configurations on the lattice:

$$d := \frac{1}{N_P} \sum_P |\text{tr} U_P - \text{tr} U'_P|. \quad (3)$$

Here the symbol $\sum_P$ stands for the sum over all N_P plaquettes, so this distance is bound in the interval $(0, 2N)$ for the group $\text{SU}(N)$. U_P and U'_P are the familiar plaquette variables, constructed from the basic link variables $U_{x,i}$,

$$U_{x,i} = \exp(aA_{x,i}T), \quad (4)$$

located on lattice links pointing from the position $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ to $x + ae_i$. The generator of the group $\text{U}(1)$ is $T = -ig$ and $A_{x,i}$ is the vector potential. The elementary plaquette variable is constructed for a plaquette with a corner at x and lying in the ij -plane as $U_{x,ij} = U_{x,i}U_{x+i,j}U_{x+j,i}^\dagger U_{x,j}^\dagger$. It is related to the magnetic field strength $B_{x,k}$:

$$U_{x,ij} = \exp(\varepsilon_{ijk}a^2 B_{x,k}T). \quad (5)$$

The electric field strength $E_{x,i}$ is related to the canonically conjugate momentum $P_{x,i} = a\dot{U}_{x,i}$ via

$$E_{x,i} = \frac{1}{g^2 a^2} \left(T \dot{U}_{x,i} U_{x,i}^\dagger \right). \quad (6)$$

The Hamiltonian of the lattice gauge field system can be casted into the form

$$H = \sum \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle P, P \rangle + 1 - \frac{1}{4} \langle U, V \rangle \right]. \quad (7)$$

Here the scalar product stands for $\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(AB^\dagger)$. The staple variable V is a sum of triple products of elementary link variables closing a plaquette with the chosen link U . This way the Hamiltonian is formally written as a sum over link contributions and V plays the role of the classical force acting on the link variable U .

We prepare the initial field configurations from a standard four dimensional Euclidean Monte Carlo program on a $12^3 \times 4$ lattice varying the inverse gauge coupling β .³ We relate such four dimensional Euclidean lattice field configurations to Minkowskian momenta and fields for the three dimensional Hamiltonian simulation by selecting a fixed time slice of the four dimensional lattice.

3. Chaos and confinement




Figure 1. Exponentially diverging distance in real time of initially adjacent U(1) field configurations on a 12^3 lattice prepared at $\beta = 0.9$ in the confinement (left) and at $\beta = 1.1$ in the Coulomb phase (right).

We start the presentation of our results with a characteristic example of the time evolution of the distance between initially adjacent configurations. An initial state prepared by a standard four dimensional Monte Carlo simulation is evolved according to the classical Hamiltonian dynamics in real time.

Afterwards this initial state is rotated locally by group elements which are chosen randomly near to the unity. The time evolution of this slightly rotated configuration is then pursued and finally the distance between these two evolutions is calculated at the corresponding times. A typical exponential rise of this distance followed by a saturation can be inspected in Fig. 1 from an example of U(1) gauge theory in the confinement phase and in the Coulomb phase. While the saturation is an artifact of the compact distance measure of the lattice, the exponential rise (the linear rise of the logarithm) can be used for the determination of the leading Lyapunov exponent. The left plot exhibits that in the confinement phase the original field and its monopole part have similar Lyapunov exponents whereas the photon part has a smaller L_{max} . The right plot in the Coulomb phase suggests that all slopes and consequently the Lyapunov exponents of all fields decrease substantially.

4. Real-time evolution of monopoles




Figure 2. The number of monopole pairs as a function of real time evolution at $\beta = 0.9$ (confined, left) and at $\beta = 1.1$ (Coulomb phase, right).

An important subject of the present study is the evolution of monopoles in real time.⁴ The outcome is displayed in Fig. 2 and shows that their number stays constant on average with creation and annihilation of a few monopole pairs only. The fluctuations decrease with increasing inverse gauge coupling β . A decrease of the total number of monopoles takes place towards the Coulomb phase.

The real-time evolution of the monopole content in the decomposed U(1) field is depicted in Fig. 3. Although there are less monopoles in the




Figure 3. Monopole pairs in the decomposed field at $\beta = 0.9$ (left) and at $\beta = 1.1$ (right). In the latter case the decomposition was repeated until complete absence of monopoles in the photon part. Full lines correspond to the monopole, dashed lines to the photon (residual) configuration.

photon field than in the monopole field, their fluctuations are comparable in the confining phase. On the right plot, made for the Coulomb phase at $\beta = 1.1$, additionally the decomposition to photon and monopole fields has been repeatedly applied until the photon part had no monopole in it. This zero value is conserved during the real-time evolution.

References

1. J.D. Stack and R.J. Wensley, Nucl. Phys. B371 (1992) 597; T. Suzuki, S. Kitahara, T. Okude, F. Shoji, K. Moroda, and O. Miyamura, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 47 (1996) 374.
2. T.S. Biró, S.G. Matinyan, and B. Müller: Chaos and Gauge Field Theory, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
3. T.S. Biró, M. Feurstein, and H. Markum, APH Heavy Ion Physics 7 (1998) 235; T.S. Biró, N. Hörmann, H. Markum, and R. Pullirsch, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 86 (2000) 403.
4. H. Markum, R. Pullirsch, and W. Sakuler, hep-lat/0201001; hep-lat/0205003; hep-lat/0209039; T.S. Biró, H. Markum, R. Pullirsch, and W. Sakuler, hep-lat/0210020.